IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS EL PASO DIVISION

JERAME ARELLANEZ,	§	
Institutional ID No.: 2238106	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
v.	§	3:19-CV-187-FM-LS
	§	
RAUL MORALES and SEBASTIAN	§	
CHAVEZ,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL

Pro se inmate Arellanez, proceeding *in forma pauperis*, moves for the appointment of counsel in his lawsuit against two El Paso police officers for using excessive force when they arrested him. A civil rights complainant has no right to the automatic appointment of counsel.¹ Indeed, a court is not required to appoint counsel in a civil rights case unless it presents exceptional circumstances.² To gauge whether exceptional circumstances exist courts consider (1) the type and complexity of the case; (2) the indigent's ability to adequately present the case; (3) the indigent's ability to investigate the case adequately; and (4) the existence of contradictory evidence and the need for skill in evidence presentation and cross-examination.³

Arellanez's claim that Officer Morales used excessive force when he struck Arellanez's head on the concrete, while Arellanez was handcuffed, is not complex. With respect to Arellanez's ability to present and investigate his case, I note that he has already conducted formal discovery to

¹ Ulmer v. Chancellor, 691 F.2d 209, 212 (5th Cir. 1982).

 $^{^{2}}$ Id.

³ *Id*. at 213.

⁴ See Kiser v. Dearing, 442 F. App'x 132, 135 (5th Cir. 2011) (per curiam) ("[T]he legal contours of excessive force claims are well-established and not particularly complex.").

learn the identities of the John Doe officers,⁵ sought⁶ and was granted leave to file an amended complaint⁷ using this discovery, propounded further written discovery,⁸ and filed a written response to the officers' motions to dismiss and assertions of qualified immunity.⁹ Finally, at this time there is nothing in the record pointing to contradictory evidence or the need for skill in evidence presentation or cross examination. Indeed, Arellanez is adamant a video exists showing the assault.¹⁰

Given Arellanez's ability to prosecute this straightforward excessive force case to date, and having considered the *Ulmer* factors, I find that there are no exceptional circumstances in this case mandating or warranting the appointment of counsel at this time. Arellanez's motion for appointment of counsel is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED.

SIGNED and **ENTERED** on April 30, 2020.

LEON SCHYDLOWER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

⁵ See ECF Nos. 28, 29.

⁶ ECF No. 30.

⁷ ECF No. 32.

⁸ ECF No. 51.

⁹ ECF No. 56.

¹⁰ *Id*. at 2.